

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 MBFR V 00536 01 OF 03 261507Z
ACTION ACDA-12

INFO OCT-01 ACDE-00 ISO-00 CIAE-00 EUR-12 H-01 INR-07
IO-13 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-05
PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 INRE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00
USIE-00 /076 W
-----014967 261527Z /42

O R 261422Z OCT 77
FM USDEL MBFR VIENNA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 2418
SECDEF WASHDC
INFO USMISSION USNATO
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 3 MBFR VIENNA 0536

E O 11652: GDS
TAGS: PARM, NATO, MBFR
SUBJ: VERBATIM TEXT OF SOVIET REPRESENTATIVE'S PROPOSAL OF
OCTOBER 25, 1977

FOLLOWING IS COMPLETE RECORD OF PROPOSAL MADE BY SOVIET REP
TARASOV AT OCTOBER 25, 1977 INFORMAL SESSION:

BEGIN TEXT:1. TARASOV SAID THAT, IN THE PRESENT SESSION,
EASTERN REPRESENTATIVES WERE COMPELLED TO POINT OUT ONCE
AGAIN THAT THE DATA DISCUSSION WHICH HAD BEEN STARTED
ALMOST ONE AND A HALF YEARS EARLIER HAD NOT PRODUCED
ANY PRACTICAL RESULTS. THE EASTERN PARTICIPANTS AS
FAR BACK AS JUNE 1976 HAD SUBMITTED DATA REFLECTING
THE ACTUAL STRENGTH OF THEIR ARMED FORCES IN CENTRAL
EUROPE SUBJECT TO REDUCTION. THEN, ALREADY IN THE
PRESENT YEAR, SEEKING TO REACH AN UNDERSTANDING ON DATA,
EASTERN PARTICIPANTS HAD PROPOSED THE EXCHANGE ON A
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 MBFR V 00536 01 OF 03 261507Z

RECIPROCAL BASIS OF DATA ON THE STRENGTH OF THE NATIONAL
ARMED FORCES OF EACH DIRECT PARTICIPANT STATIONED IN
THE REDUCTION AREA, AND DATA O THEIR PERCENTAGE MANNING
LEVELS. (COMMENT: TARASOV USED THE PHRASE "PERCENTAGE
MANNING LEVELS" THROUGHOUT THE REMAINDER OF HIS
PRESENTATION. THE CONTEXT MADE EVIDENT THAT HE WAS
SPEAKING OF AVERAGE MANNING LEVELS IN PERCENTAGE FORM.)

THE WEST HOWEVER HAD NOT ACCEPTED THE EASTERN PROPOSAL AND WAS STILL CONTINUING TO INSIST WITHOUT FOUNDATION ON ITS OVERSTATED ESTIMATES OF THE STRENGTH OF THE ARMED FORCES OF THE EASTERN COUNTRIES, ATTEMPTING TO JUSTIFY THROUGH THEM ITS WELL KNOWN CONCEPT OF ASYMMETRICAL REDUCTIONS.

2. TARASOV SAID THAT, ON JULY 15, 1977, THE WESTERN REPRESENTATIVES HAD SET FORTH THEIR VIEW AS TO THE FURTHER PATTERN OF DATA EXAMINATION. EASTERN PARTICIPANTS HAD THOROUGHLY ANALYZED THE WESTERN PROPOSAL AND IN RECENT INFORMAL SESSIONS HAD DEMONSTRATED PRECISELY AND IN DETAIL THAT IT CONTAINED PROVISIONS WHICH COULD NOT BUT EVOKE OBJECTIONS. IF IT WERE ACCEPTED, PARTICIPANTS WOULD HAVE TO START DISCUSSION OF INFORMATION ON FORCES OF A KIND WHICH WAS NOT NEEDED FOR SOLVING THE REDUCTION ISSUE AND WHICH AT THE SAME TIME AFFECTED SENSITIVE INTERESTS OF THE NATIONAL SECURITY OF PARTICIPATING STATES. EASTERN PARTICIPANTS WERE FIRMLY CONVINCED THAT THE COMMON DUTY OF ALL PARTICIPANTS WAS TO AVERT THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH UNDESIRABLE AND DANGEROUS DEVELOPMENT OF THE DATA DISCUSSION.

3. TARASOV CONTINUED THAT, AFTER EMPHASIZING THIS IMPORTANT POINT, EASTERN PARTICIPANTS AT THE SAME TIME WOULD LIKE TO STATE THE FOLLOWING ON THE PRESENT OCCASION: IN THE INTEREST OF ENSURING
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 MBFR V 00536 01 OF 03 261507Z

PROGRESS IN THE VIENNA TALKS, THE EASTERN DIRECT PARTICIPANTS IN THE VIENNA NEGOTIATIONS, THE GDR, THE PEOPLE'S POLISH REPUBLIC, THE CZECHOSLOVAK SOCIALIST REPUBLIC AND THE SOVIET UNION, HAD DECIDED TO UNDERTAKE A NEW, MAJOR STEP TO MEET THE POSITION OF THE WESTERN STATES AND TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT SUBSTANTIAL ELEMENTS OF THEIR PROPOSAL OF JULY 15, 1977. THE EASTERN PARTICIPANTS JUST MENTIONED WERE PREPARED ON THE BASIS OF RECIPROCITY TO SUBMIT FOR EACH COUNTRY THE OVERALL NUMERICAL STRENGTH OF GROUND FORCES ON MAJOR FORMATIONS AS WELL AS THE OVERALL MANPOWER OF FORCES OTHER THAN PERSONNEL IN THOSE FORMATIONS. PARTICIPANTS COULD ALSO EXCHANGE SEPARATE DATA FOR AIR FORCE MANPOWER. IN DISCUSSING ALL THESE FIGURES, EASTERN PARTICIPANTS WERE WILLING TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE WISHES OF THE WESTERN STATES THAT THE FIGURE FOR THE PERSONNEL OF THE JOINT NATO HEADQUARTERS BE SUBMITTED AS AN INTEGRATED ONE, WITHOUT BEING DIVIDED INTO NATIONAL ELEMENTS.

4. TARASOV CONTINUED THAT, AT THE SAME TIME, EASTERN PARTICIPANTS SUGGESTED THAT THE MANNING LEVELS OF THE ARMED FORCES OF STATES SUBJECT TO REDUCTION SHOULD BE CONSIDERED. SUCH CONSIDER-

ATION NATURALLY SHOULD BE CARRIED OUT ONLY ON THE BASIS OF RECIP-
ROCITY. THIS MEANT THAT EASTERN PARTICIPANTS WERE READY TO TABLE
PERCENTAGES OF THE MANNING LEVELS OF THE ARMED FORCES
OF EACH EASTERN DIRECT PARTICIPANT IN THE REDUCTION
AREA AS OF JANUARY 1, 1976, PROVIDED THAT WESTERN
PARTICIPANTS FOR THEIR PART WOULD SUBMIT THE PERCENTAGE
OF MANNING LEVELS OF EACH NATO COUNTRY'S ARMED FORCES
LOCATED IN CENTRAL EUROPE AS OF THE SAME DATE.

5. TARASOV CONTINUED THAT, AS TO THE WESTERN
PROPOSAL TO SUBMIT AND DISCUSS DATA ON EVERY INDIVIDUAL
MAJOR FORMATION, EASTERN PARTICIPANTS DID NOT CONSIDER
IT POSSIBLE OR EXPEDIENT TO ACCEPT IT, SINCE THIS
PROPOSAL DIVERTED THE NEGOTIATIONS TO CONSIDERATION
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 MBFR V 00536 01 OF 03 261507Z

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 MBFR V 00536 02 OF 03 261458Z
ACTION ACDA-12

INFO OCT-01 ACDE-00 ISO-00 CIAE-00 EUR-12 H-01 INR-07
IO-13 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-05
PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 INRE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00
USIE-00 /076 W

-----014796 261528Z /42

O R 261422Z OCT 77
FM USDEL MBFR VIENNA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 2419
SECDEF WASHDC
INFO USMISSION USNATO
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 3 MBFR VIENNA 0536

OF THE FORCE ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE WITHOUT PROMOTING
AN UNDERSTANDING ON FIGURES.

6. TARASOV SAID THAT, AS ONE COULD EASILY SEE, THE NEW PROPOSALS OF THE EASTERN PARTICIPANTS WERE OF A SERIOUS COMPROMISE NATURE. IN PRINCIPLE, EASTERN PARTICIPANTS HAD BELIEVED, AND STILL BELIEVED, THAT TO REACH AN UNDERSTANDING ON DATA, IT COULD BE QUITE SUFFICIENT, IN ADDITION TO THE DATA ALREADY TABLED, TO EXCHANGE INFORMATION ON THE NUMERICAL STRENGTH OF THE NATIONAL ARMED FORCES OF THE DIRECT PARTICIPANTS. IN THE PRESENT SESSION, EASTERN PARTICIPANTS WERE EXPRESSING THEIR READINESS TO GO FURTHER THAN THIS, GUIDED EXCLUSIVELY BY THE DESIRE TO MOVE THE NEGOTIATIONS FORWARD AND TO ASSIST THE WESTERN PARTICIPANTS TO TAKE A MORE CONSTRUCTIVE POSITION.

7. TARASOV CONTINUED THAT THE COMPROMISE NATURE OF THE NEW
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 MBFR V 00536 02 OF 03 261458Z

EASTERN PROPOSAL CONSISTED IN THE FACT THAT THE EASTERN COUNTRIES IN FURTHER DISCUSSION OF FORCE STRENGTHS WERE WILLING FIRSTLY, TO DIVIDE THE GROUND FORCES OF ALL DIRECT PARTICIPATING STATES INTO TWO CATEGORIES, THAT IS, FORCES IN MAJOR FORMATIONS AND FORCES WHICH WERE NOT IN THESE FORMATIONS, AND TO TABLE SEPARATE DATA FOR EACH OF THESE CATEGORIES. SECOND, TO SUBMIT ON EACH INDIVIDUAL STATE INFORMATION ABOUT THE NUMERICAL STRENGTH OF ITS AIR FORCE PERSONNEL. THIRD, TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE DESIRE OF THE WESTERN STATES TO SUBMIT FOR THE PERSONNEL OF THE NATO MULTI-LATERAL HEADQUARTERS AN INTEGRATED FIGURE WITHOUT DIVIDING IT INTO NATIONAL ELEMENTS.

8. TARASOV SAID THAT THE IDEA RAISED BY EASTERN PARTICIPANTS TO EXCHANGE ON A RECIPROCAL BASIS INFORMATION ON THE MANNING LEVELS OF THE ARMED FORCES OF STATES HAD IN PRINCIPLE GREAT IMPORTANCE. THIS PROPOSAL WOULD ENABLE EACH DIRECT PARTICIPANT NOT ONLY TO FURTHER EXPLAIN THE DATA ON ITS OWN FORCES, BUT ALSO TO CLARIFY THE ESTIMATES OF THE ARMED FORCES MANPOWER OF THE OTHER SIDE. THUS, THE FLEXIBLE, COMPROMISE PROPOSAL OF THE EASTERN PARTICIPANTS TOOK INTO ACCOUNT MAJOR CONSIDERATIONS OF THE WESTERN PARTICIPANTS AS TO THE PATTERN OF FURTHER DATA DISCUSSION. IT WOULD NOT PREJUDICE -- AND THIS COULD ALSO BE SAID AS WELL FOR THE EASTERN PROPOSAL ON NATIONAL DATA -- THE WESTERN POSITION ON BASIC REDUCTION ISSUES. EASTERN PARTICIPANTS BELIEVED THAT, ON THE BASIS OF THIS EASTERN PROPOSAL, THERE COULD BE CARRIED OUT ALREADY IN THE NEAR FUTURE AN EXCHANGE OF DATA, ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF WHICH WOULD PERMIT PARTICIPANTS TO COME TO AN EARLIER CONCLUSION OF THE SO-CALLED DATA DISCUSSION AND TO CONCENTRATE ALL ATTENTION ON ELABORATING THE BASIC ELEMENTS OF AN AGREEMENT ON THE REDUCTION OF

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 MBFR V 00536 02 OF 03 261458Z

FORCES AND ARMAMENTS.

9. US REP SAID IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSAL THE EAST HAD MADE IN THE PRESENT SESSION IF WESTERN REPRESENTATIVES ASKED ONE OR TWO CLARIFYING QUESTIONS. HE WISHED TO START BY CLARIFYING THE SUGGESTION WHICH THE SOVIET REP HAD JUST MADE WITH RESPECT TO CONSIDERATION OF MANNING LEVELS. WAS IT CORRECT FOR WESTERN PARTICIPANTS TO UNDERSTAND THAT THIS WAS A SUGGESTED TOPIC WHICH COULD BE CONSIDERED SEPARATELY AND AFTER A SATISFACTORY AND MUTUALLY ACCEPTABLE FORM OF DATA EXCHANGE HAD BEEN WORKED OUT AND IMPLEMENTED?

10. UK REP SAID TWO QUESTIONS OCCURRED TO HIM IMMEDIATELY. THE FIRST CONCERNED A POINT MADE BY AMBASSADOR TARASOV. ON THE BASIS OF RECIPROCITY, THE EAST WAS PREPARED TO SUBMIT TOTALS FOR THE MANPOWER OF FORCES IN MAJOR FORMATIONS AS WELL AS FOR OTHER MANPOWER. EASTERN REPS WERE AWARE THAT IN THE WESTERN PROPOSAL OF JULY 15, THE WEST HAD SUGGESTED A WAY BY WHICH THE TWO SIDES MIGHT LIST AND DESCRIBE MAJOR FORMATIONS. UK REP'S QUESTION WAS THEREFORE, HOW WOULD THE EAST PROPOSE IN THE CONTEXT OF ITS PRESENT PROPOSAL TO IDENTIFY THE MAJOR FORMATIONS FOR WHOSE PERSONNEL THE EAST WAS WILLING TO SUPPLY TOTAL FIGURES?

11. UK REP SAID HIS SECOND QUESTION CONCERNED TARASOV'S PROPOSAL THAT PARTICIPANTS COULD ALSO EXCHANGE SEPARATE DATA FOR AIR FORCE MANPOWER. THE QUESTION WAS, HOW DID THE EAST PROPOSE TO DEAL WITH THIS AND IF SO WHEN AND HOW?

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 MBFR V 00536 03 OF 03 261516Z
ACTION ACDA-12

INFO OCT-01 ACDE-00 ISO-00 CIAE-00 EUR-12 H-01 INR-07
IO-13 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-05
PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 INRE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00
USIE-00 /076 W
-----015112 261527Z /42

O R 261422Z OCT 77
FM USDEL MBFR VIENNA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 2420
SECDEF WASHDC
INFO USMISSION USNATO
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR

S E C R E T SECTION 3 OF 3 MBFR VIENNA 0536

UK REP SAID HE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO MAKE ONE GENERAL COMMENT ON THE STATEMENTS MADE BY THE POLISH AND GDR REPS CONCERNING THE PURPOSE OF THE WESTERN PROPOSAL OF JULY 15. WESTERN REPS AHD ALREADY SAID THIS, BUT IN THE CONTEXT OF WHAT HAD BEEN SAID IN THE PRESENT SESSION, IT WAS NECESSARY TO REPAT THAT THE PURPOSE OF THE WESTERN PROPOSAL OF JULY 15 WAS IN NO WAY INTENDED TO OBTAIN INFORMATION OUTSIDE THE PURPOSES OF THE NEGOTIATIONS FOR WHATEVER PURPOSES ONE COULD IMAGINE, BUT SOLELY TO PROSECUTE THE PURPOSES OF THE NEGOTIATIONS AND TO OBTAIN AN AGRED UNDERSTANDING OF THE DATA WHICH MUST BE THE BASIS FOR ANY AGREEMENT ON REDUCTIONS AND LIMITING ARMED FORCES.

12. TARASOV ASKED WHETHER WESTERN REPS HAD FURTHER QUESTIONS. UK REP SAID HE HAD ONE FURTHER QUESTION. WHEN IN THE EASTERN PROPOSAL EASTERN REPS REFERRED TO THE PERSONNEL OF JOINT NATO HEADQUARTERS, DID THEY MEAN A SINGLE NATO HEADQUARTERS OR WHEREVER THERE WERE MULTILATERAL
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 MBFR V 00536 03 OF 03 261516Z

HEADQUARTERS IN THE REDUCTION AREA?

13. TARASOV SAID THAT HE WOULD BE PREPARED TO ADDRESS THESE QUESTIONS IN THE NEXT SESSION. US REP SAID IT WOULD MAKE FOR MORE RAPID REVIEW OF THE EASTERN PROPOSAL IF EASTERN REPS COULD GIVE SOME REPLIES ON THESE FOUR QUESTIONS. WESTERN REPS WOULD BE REPORTING THIS PROPOSAL TO THEIR ALLIED COLLEAGUES IN VIENNA AND IT WOULD HELP THEM EVALUATE THE PROPOSAL IF EAST COULD PROVIDE ANSWERS ON THE FOUR POINTS RAISED.

14. TARASOV SAID THAT IF THE TASK OF WESTERN REPRESENTATIVES WOULD BE MADE EASIER THEREBY, HE COULD PROVIDE GENERAL ANSWERS, WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT MORE DETAILED ANSWERS COULD BE GIVEN LATER AS NEEDED.

15. TARASOV SAID TO THE FIRST QUESTION ASKED BY US REP ABOUT EASTERN PROPOSAL ON EXCAHNGE OF DATA ON MANNING LEVELS AS THE

EASTERN REPRESENTATIVES HAD POINTED OUT IN THEIR STATEMENTS IN THE PRESENT SESSION, THEY ATTACHED GREAT IMPORTANCE TO THIS PROPOSAL SINCE IT WOULD ENABLE PARTICIPANTS NOT ONLY TO FURTHER EXPLAIN THE DATA ON THEIR OWN FORCES BUT TO CLARIFY AND MAKE MORE ACCURATE THEIR ESTIMATES ON THE FORCES OF THE OTHER SIDE. HOWEVER, EASTERN PARTICIPANTS DID NOT MAKE CONSIDERATION OF ALL OF THE OTHER MATTERS WHICH THEY HAD DESCRIBED IN THE PRESENT SESSION CONDITIONAL ON THIS PROPOSAL. FURTHERMORE, EASTERN PARTICIPANTS BELIEVED THAT FIGURES ON MANNING LEVELS COULD BE MORE EFFECTIVE FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE NEGOTIATIONS ONLY WHEN PARTICIPANTS WERE DISCUSSING THE FORCES OF SPECIFIC COUNTRIES.

16. TARASOV SAID THAT, IN REPLY TO THE QUESTION RAISED BY THE UK REP AS TO WHAT CRITERIA THE EAST HAD CHOSEN TO DEFINE THE
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 MBFR V 00536 03 OF 03 261516Z

FORCES IN MAJOR FORMATIONS, OR, IN OTHER WORDS, WHICH MAJOR FORMATIONS HAD THE EAST CHOSEN FOR THOSE FORCES TO BE COUNTED UNDER MAJOR FORMATIONS, IF HE UNDERSTOOD THE QUESTION CORRECTLY, THEN EASTERN PARTICIPANTS COULD ANSWER THAT, FOR THE PURPOSE OF EASING THE FURTHER WORK, EASTERN PARTICIPANTS HAD DECIDED TO TAKE AS A BASIS THE CRITERIA SUGGESTED BY THE WESTERN PARTICIPANTS. THAT IS, FOR THE FORCES OF MAJOR FORMATIONS, EASTERN PARTICIPANTS HAD CHOSEN THOSE IN ARMIES, ARMY GROUPS AND MILITARY DISTRICTS FOR THE WARSAW TREATY COUNTRIES ON THE UNDERSTANDING THAT WESTERN PARTICIPANTS WOULD SUBMIT FIGURES FOR NATO FORMATIONS ON THE CORPS LEVEL.

17. TARASOV SAID A FURTHER QUESTION OF THE UK REP HAD PERTAINED TO THE EAST'S PROPOSAL FOR EXCHANGE OF DATA ON AIR FORCE MANPOWER. EASTERN PARTICIPANTS WERE NOT INSISTING ON THE SIMULTANEOUS EXCHANGE OF AND DISCUSSION OF DATA ON AIR FORCES TOGETHER WITH THE OTHER DATA. WHEN EASTERN PARTICIPANTS SPOKE OF THE EXCHANGE OF DATA OF THIS KIND, THEY ONLY EXPRESSED THEIR PREPAREDNESS TO UNDERTAKE SUCH AN EXCHANGE. FURTHERMORE, IT WOULD BE LOGICAL TO CARRY OUT THE EXCHANGE OF SUCH DATA IN A SITUATION WHEN A MUTUAL UNDERSTANDING ABOUT THE NECESSITY OF AIR FORCE REDUCTIONS COULD BE VISUALIZED, OR IN THE EVENT THAT SUCH DATA WOULD BE NECESSARY FOR MORE ACCURATE ALLOCATION BETWEEN GROUND AND AIR FORCES.

18. TARASOV SAID UK REP'S LAST QUESTION HAD PERTAINED TO THE JOINT HEADQUARTERS OF THE WESTERN PARTICIPANTS. IN SPEAKING ABOUT THE EASTERN AGREEMENT TO THE FACT THAT FIGURES FOR THE PERSONNEL OF SUCH JOINT NATO HEADQUARTERS COULD BE SUBMITTED AS AN INTEGRATED ONE WITHOUT THE INDICATION OF THE NUMBER OF MILITARY PERSONNEL OF DIFFERENT NATIONALITIES OPERATING IN SUCH HEADQUARTERS, EASTERN PARTICIPANTS HAD HAD IN MIND THAT THE WEST COULD INCLUDE IN THIS FIGURE ALL THE

JOINT HEADQUARTERS LOCATED IN THE REDUCTION AREA. EASTERN
PARTICIPANTS HAD TAKEN NOTE OF THE STATEMENTS OF WESTERN
REPRESENTATIVES THAT THE OVERALL NUMBER OF PERSONNEL ASSIGNED
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 MBFR V 00536 03 OF 03 261516Z

TO JOINT NATO HEADQUARTERS IN THE REDUCTION AREA WAS NOT LARGE
AND EVEN INSIGNIFICANT. END TEXT.RESOR

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: ARMS CONTROL MEETINGS, FORCE & TROOP LEVELS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 26-Oct-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977MBFRV00536
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770393-0446
Format: TEL
From: MBFR VIENNA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19771021/aaaaarpw.tel
Line Count: 397
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 578d2227-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION ACDA
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 8
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 22-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 791287
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: VERBATIM TEXT OF SOVIET REPRESENTATIVE'S PROPOSAL OF OCTOBER 25, 1977 FOLLOWING IS COMPLETE RECORD OF PROPOSAL MADE BY S
TAGS: PARM, UR, NATO, MBFR
To: STATE DOD
Type: TE
vdkgvkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/578d2227-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009